

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,509	10/31/2003	Dhruva Ranjan Chakrabarti	200314557-1	9606
22879 HEWLETT PA	7590 09/10/2007 CKARD COMPANY		EXAMINER	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			CHOU, ANDREW Y	
	L PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION 5, CO 80527-2400 ART UNIT PAPE		PAPER NUMBER	
1 0111 00221	, 00 0002. 2100		2192	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			104
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/698,509	CHAKRABARTI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew Y. Chou	2192	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become a	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this capandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Tile Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal ma	•	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey- rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a line.	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this Nationa	ıl Stage
	5 5553 556.00 110		
Attachment(s)	,, — , , ,	. Cummer / DTO 440	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 7, and 13 have been amended. Claims 1-13 remain pending.

Continued Examination under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFE 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/19/2007 has been submitted.

Applicant's arguments are considered but are moot in view of new ground of rejection made from art of record. Kaser (IDS filed on 10/31/2003).

new ground of rejection art, Kaser, of record below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 4. Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 101 because claim limitations are directed towards software per se. The claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Apparatus claims fail to recite any hardware features required enabling

the functionality. Thus, claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 101 as being computer listings per se. See MPEP 2106.01(I).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaser et al. ("Evaluating Inlining Techniques", 1996, ACM, hereinafter "Kaser").

Claim 1:

Kaser discloses a method of compiling a computer program with inline specialization, the method comprising:

given a call-graph (see for example page 2, "Call Graph") if multiple call-chains in the call-graph have a common call site, inlining the common call site in one or more of the call-chains, without inlining the common call site into all of said multiple call-chains having the common call site (see for example page 10, "Specialization to cv-inlining").

Claim 2:

Kaser further discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising: whenever a call site from routine x to routine y is inlined, new edges are added from routine x to all routines inlinable within routine y (see for example pages 6-8, "4 A Multi-version Inlining

Page 4

Art Unit: 2192

Technique").

Claim 3:

Kaser further discloses the method of claim 2, further comprising:

materialization of summary information for new call sites added to the call-graph (see for example pages 6-8, "4 A Multi-version Inlining Technique").

Claim 4:

Kaser further discloses the method of claim 3, further comprising: addition of the new call sites to the global work-list so that these call sites are considered for inlining (see for example Figure 1, and related text).

Claim 5:

Kaser further discloses the method claim 4, further comprising:
addition of dependence relationships between call sites. If a new call site, y, is added
because of inlining of call site, x, then y is dependent on x (see for example pages 6-8,
"4 A Multi-version Inlining Technique").

Claim 6:

Kaser further discloses the method of claim 5, further comprising:

patching of the new call site, y, during inline transformation of call site, x, with the aim of generating the intermediate transformation for call site, y (see for example pages 6-8, "4 A Multi-version Inlining Technique").

Claim 7:

Kaser discloses an apparatus for compiling a computer program with inline specialization, the apparatus comprising:

means to inline a common call site in one or more of the call-chains in a call-graph, without necessarily inlining the common call site into all call-chains having the common call site (page 10, "Specialization to cv-inlining).

Claim 8:

Kaser further discloses the apparatus of claim 7, wherein whenever a call site from routine x to routine y is inlined, new edges are added from routine x to all routines inlinable within routine y (see for example pages 6-8, "4 A Multi-version Inlining Technique").

Claim 9:

Kaser further discloses the apparatus of claim 8, wherein materialization of summary information for new call sites added to the call-graph is performed (see for example pages 6-8, "4 A Multi-version Inlining Technique").

Claim 10:

Kaser further discloses the apparatus of claim 9, wherein the new call sites are added to the global work-list so that these are considered for inlining (see for example Figure 1, and related text).

Claim 11:

Kaser further discloses the apparatus of claim 10, wherein dependence relationships are created between call sites (see for example pages 6-8, "4 A Multi-version Inlining Technique").

Claim 12:

Kaser further discloses the apparatus of claim 11, wherein the inline transformation

patches up the intermediate representation of the new call sites (by considering the dependence relationships) before potentially inlining them see for example pages 6-8, "4 A Multi-version Inlining Technique").

Claim 13:

Kaser discloses a computer program product comprising a computer-usable medium having computer-readable code embodied therein, the computer program product being a source code compiler with cross-module optimization (see for example Figure 1, and related text), the compiler including and inline specialization feature such that given a call-graph, if multiple call-chains in the call-graph have a common call site, the common call site is inlined in one or more of the call-chains graph, without necessarily being inlined into all of the multiple call-chains having the common call site (see for example page 10, "Specialization to cv-inlining).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Y. Chou whose telephone number is (571) 272-6829. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 am – 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam, can be reached on (571) 272-3695.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273 8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed tot eh TC 2100 Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272 2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

AYC

TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER